

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/813,259	03/31/2004	Agustin Carmona	5335	
75	590 04/05/2005		EXAMINER	
Agustin Carmona			WALCZAK, DAVID J	
12914 N.W. 8th Lane Miami, FL 33182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1111111111, 12 00			· 3751	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(I)
	10/813,259	CARMONA, AGUSTIN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David J. Walczak	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of the second for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleter fixed by the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro a cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 N	<u>larch_2004</u> .		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		,	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are:	a)□ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document.	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau		red III this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	. ,,	ved.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Abstract

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology such as "means" should not be present therein. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification is replete with minor grammatical and syntax errors that must be corrected, i.e., on page 4, line 8, after "10B.", "the" should be --The--, on page 4, line 9, "hallow" should be --hollow--, on page 4, line 14, after "body10.", "the" should be --The-- and on page 4, line 15, "10B" should be --10A--. The Applicant should review the entire specification and correct any other minor errors that may exist. Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because it appears that Fig. 1A should labeled --Fig. 1-- and "Fig.1" should be labeled --Fig. 2-- (see lines 8-9 of page 3). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an

amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 1 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim is narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patents cited. The applicant is welcomed to contact the Examiner listed below should assistance be needed in the drafting of a properly formatted claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pieters in view of Connelly et al. (hereinafter Connelly). Pieters discloses a combination toothbrush writing instrument comprised of a housing 12 and a writing instrument 20. Although the Pieters device does not include a toothpaste transmission system in the housing, attention is directed to the Connelly reference, which discloses another toothbrush where such a transmission system 14 is present in the body in order to conveniently supply a user with toothpaste. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include such a transmission system into the body 12 of the Pieters device in order to enable a user to have convenient access to toothpaste.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Button and Broussard references are cited for disclosing other toothbrush/writing instrument combination devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Walczak whose telephone number is 703-308-0608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs, 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg L. Huson can be reached on 703-308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David J. Walczak Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

DJW 4/4/05